

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/890,550	01/14/2002	Kazutaka Majima	2000-22	4691
7590 07/28/2005		EXAMINER		
J Rodman Steele Jr			VO, HAI	
Akerman Senterfitt & Eidson Post Office PO Box 3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188			1771	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/890,550	MAJIMA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hai Vo	1771				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence at	IGF8SS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutoreply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, ma ly within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6) l e, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ily. communication.			
Status							
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 April 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>43-54</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) <u>43-46,50 and 51</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>47 and 52</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>48,49,53 and 54</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected.	cepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abe tion is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ring(s) is objected to. See 37 C				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received i nity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National	l Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)			

Art Unit: 1771

 The provisional double patenting rejections over claims 1-34 of copending Application No. 10/018708 and the art rejections over WO 99/38651 are maintained.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 47 and 52 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-34 of copending Application No. 10/018708 substantially as set forth in the 10/28/2004 Office Action. The double patenting rejections have been maintained for the following reasons. Applicants argue that the copending Application does not disclose a plurality of bonded base materials, each formed from a silicone carbide metal composite having a thermal conductivity of 100 W/mK or more. The copending Application discloses a table having a plurality of bonded base materials, each formed from a silicone carbide metal composite having a thermal conductivity of 150 and 125 W/mK respectively as shown in examples 1 and 2.

Art Unit: 1771

There is no apparent reason why Applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the co-pending application during prosecution of the co-pending application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP 804. Accordingly, the double patenting rejections are sustained until the submission of the terminal disclaimer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless
 - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 47 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by WO 99/38651 substantially as set forth in the 07/28/2005 Office Action. The art rejections have been maintained for the following reasons. Applicants argues that WO'651 teaches the third plate 38 and lower plate 40 do not need to have particularly high conductivity. Therefore, Applicants conclude claim 47 is distinguished over WO 99/38651. The examiner disagrees. WO'651 teaches a polishing apparatus comprising the two upper plates 30 and 34, each having a thermal conductivity greater than 60 W/m.K or more, which reads on Applicants' plurality of bonded plates, each having the thermal conductivity greater than 60 W/m.K or more, encompassing the claimed range. Accordingly, the art rejections are sustained.

Art Unit: 1771

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 43-46, 50, and 51 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 48, 49, 53 and 54 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Tsukada teaches a heat resistant composite body suitable for use in heat resistant jigs comprising silicon carbide crystal with an average size grain within the claimed range, having the thermal conductivity and porosity within the claimed ranges and even the amount of impregnating metal silicon within the claimed range. There is no motivation to combine WO'651 and Tsukada to arrive at the grinding table of the presently claimed invention. One of skill in the art would not look to the Tsukada invention which is associated with the high resistant jig when faced with the problem of thermal conductivity and porosity of the grinding table. Additionally, the inclusion of a bonding layer formed from the metal silicon to bond the ceramic metal composite base materials renders the instant claims patentable over the prior art.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

Art Unit: 1771

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1485. The examiner can normally be reached on M,T,Th, F, 7:00-4:30 and on alternating Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Art Unit: 1771

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

HV

Hai Vo

Page 6

HAIVO PRIMARY EXAMINER